



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

. APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,267	12/08/2003	Wesley H. Smith	42P17112	4723	
8791 BLAKELV SC	7590 01/16/2007 OKOLOFF TAYLOR & 2	EXAMINER			
12400 WILSH	IRE BOULEVARD	WOO, STELLA L			
SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
20071110222	10, 011 90025 1050		2614		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		01/16/2007	PAI	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/731,267	SMITH, WESLEY H.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stella L. Woo	2614				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 October 2006</u> .						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	on of Claims		·				
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3-8,10-12,14-17,19 and 20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-8,10-12,14-17,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* S	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attach	Vo)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) 🔯 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa					
Pape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/28/2006</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/731,267

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-8, 10-12, 14-17, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green (US 2003/02150071 A1) Green in view of Velius (US 5,594,784), and further in view of Speeney et al. (US 6,570,983 B1, hereinafter "Speeney").

Green discloses a method comprising:

transmitting data from an electronic system over a communications medium (modem 120 communicates with server modem 160 over telephone line 140; Figure 1A; paragraphs 10-11);

receiving an indication of an incoming telephone call transmitted over the communication medium (user receives a call waiting signal when an incoming call is received from third party terminal 170; paragraphs 2 and 16); and

maintaining the data session while halting the data transmission (the server modem 160 goes on hold when the user accepts the call using telephone 110; paragraph 16).

Art Unit: 2614

Green differs from claims 1, 3-8, 10-12, 14-17, 19-20 in that it does not teach accepting or terminating an incoming call by voice command. However, Velius teaches the desirability of allowing a call recipient to accept, refuse and terminate a call by voice command (col. 7, lines 34-45) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate such use of voice command, as taught by Velius, within the system of Green in order to provide the user with totally hand-free voice telephone communication.

Green further differs from claims 1, 3-8, 10-12, 14-17, 19-20 in that it does not teach the indication comprising a simulated human voice. However, Speeney teaches the well known use of a synthesized voice or the sound of human voice to audibly indicate the identity of a calling party (col. 4, lines 21-30; col. 5, lines 39 - col. 6, line 16) via a speaker (output device 250 can be a single speaker or a plurality of speakers; col. 3, line 66 - col. 4, line 3) of the electronic system (computing device; col. 4, lines 58-60). It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate such use of a simulated human voice, as taught by Speeney, within the system of Green in order to audibly announce the presence and identity of an incoming call.

Regarding claims 3, 8, 14, in Green, the data session is maintained using the v.92 modem on hold feature (paragraphs 2 and 10), and voice communication takes place via the speaker and microphone of the handset of telephone 110 (Figure 1A).

Application/Control Number: 10/731,267

Art Unit: 2614

Page 4

Regarding claims 4, 10, 15, 19, in Green, data transmission is resumed upon termination of the incoming telephone call (Figure 4, steps 420, 430, 460; paragraphs 17-22).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-8, 10-12, 14-17, 19-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,267

Art Unit: 2614

Page 5

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella L. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-7512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Stella L. Woo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614